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Introduction

Technology is becoming more integral across professional fields and within our daily lives, especially since the onset of the pandemic. Now more than ever, it is essential to equip students with the skills to substantially contribute to and genuinely connect within our computational world (Jackman et al., 2021). These opportunities to learn will be important to all students—not only the ones who will eventually study computer science or enter the information technology industry.

While jobs increasingly require the complementary partnership of the processing power of computers and the creativity and expertise of humans (Warschauer & Matuchniak, 2010), our education system has historically prepared only some students with these skills (Margolis, Goode, & Ryoo, 2015) and has systematically excluded certain student populations (Margolis et al, 2008). Large inequalities continue to exist in access to equipment and learning opportunities needed to build computational skills for students that experience marginalization (Code.org et al., 2020). When we say students that experience marginalization in computing, we are referring to Black, Native American, and Latinx students; students with disabilities; girls and non-binary students.

If we are to equip every student in the next generation with the skillset to participate in our technological society, all educators, across disciplines and grade bands, need to provide opportunities for students to engage in computational skills and practices.

We call all educators to integrate computational thinking into disciplinary learning across K-12 education, while centering inclusivity, to equip students with the skills they need to participate in our increasingly technological world and promote justice for students and society at large.

Integrating computing thinking into every classroom is not something that can be left to individual educators. Educational leaders at the district and school levels must prioritize the initiative and build capacity for teachers to do so. This quick start and discussion guide is a resource for educators to learn about and build capacity for students to engage in computational thinking.
Computational Thinking: A Primer

So, what is computational thinking? Many educators find this term mystifying. Although computational thinking has previously been defined as using computational methods to solve interdisciplinary and everyday problems (Barr & Stephenson, 2011; ISTE & CSTA, 2011; Wing, 2006), many educators find this definition offers insufficient guidance for practical classroom implementation. This could be in part because there are many terms used to address skills related to computing. Figure 1 is a representation of the relationship between computer science, computational thinking, programming and computing.

K–12 Computing: Then and Now

- **Programming** is the practice of developing a set of instructions that a computer can understand and execute, as well as debugging, organizing, and applying that code to appropriate problem-solving contexts. It lies among computer science and computational thinking. It entails technical skill (coding), in addition to problem-solving (e.g., debugging), design aesthetics (e.g., concise lines), and documentation.

- **Computer science** is “the study of computers and algorithmic processes, including their principles, their hardware and software designs, their applications, and their impact on society” (Tucker et al., 2003).

- **Computational thinking** is “a way of solving problems, designing systems, and understanding human behavior that draws on concepts fundamental to computer science... a fundamental skill for everyone, not just computer scientists.” (Wing, 2006).

- **Computing** meanwhile is any activity or area of study that leverages computational methods, models, or systems, such as information management, computer engineering, artificial intelligence, data science, entertainment media and more (CC20 Taskforce, 2020). Computing involves any skill or practice from computer science and/or computational thinking.

**Reflect:**

*What experiences have you had to engage in programming, computer science and/or computational thinking? What did you do and how did it make you feel?*
Computational Thinking Skills and Practices: A Framework for Integration

In order to integrate computational thinking into K-12 teaching and learning, educators must define what students need to know and be able to do to be successful computational thinkers. Our recommended framework has three concentric circles.

- **Computational thinking skills**, in the outermost circle, are the cognitive processes necessary to engage with computational tools to solve problems. These skills are the foundation to engage in any computational problem solving and should be integrated into early learning opportunities in K-3 either plugged (with a computational device) and unplugged (without a computational device). Although both plugged and unplugged activities are valuable learning experiences for students to build computational thinking skills, leveraging technological tools where appropriate can support learners to connect and apply these skills to engage in computational thinking practices in the older grades.

- **Computational thinking practices**, in the middle circle, combine multiple computational skills to solve an applied problem. Students in the older grades (4-12) may engage in these practices to develop computational artifacts such as a computer program, data visualization, or computational model.

- **Inclusive pedagogies**, in the innermost circle, are strategies for engaging all learners in computing, connecting applications to students’ interests and experiences, and providing opportunities to acknowledge, and combat biases and stereotypes within the computing field.

Figure 2. A framework for computational thinking integration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT Skills</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Filtering aspects of a problem or phenomenon for what is most important</td>
<td>Preschool students sort buttons based on categories they define (e.g., color, number of buttonholes, shape).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithmic Thinking</td>
<td>Organizing steps in an ordered sequence</td>
<td>Second graders put different parts of a story (or even a comic strip) in the correct order (Ruiz et al., 2021) and identify the key images and words that serve as the operational “hinges” pointing to a specific sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debugging</td>
<td>Iteratively testing, finding errors, and fixing them</td>
<td>Fourth grade students might be given a block-based coding project to calculate the area of a rectangle that uses an addition operator rather than a multiplication one. Students must debug the algorithm to correctly calculate the area based on user input (Everyday Computing, 2021).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition</td>
<td>Dividing problems into smaller parts</td>
<td>After reading a book, first grade students might decompose the storyline into beginning, middle, and end or introduction, rising action, climax, failing action, and resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern recognition</td>
<td>Recognizing recurrent features, data, or relationships</td>
<td>Students in Kindergarten might draw a tree at different times of each year and then pool their different pictures to arrive at the tell-tale signs of a particular season by the pooled imagery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selecting tools</td>
<td>Choose a computational device with the appropriate affordances to complete a task</td>
<td>Fifth grade students might choose between a digital thermometer and a programmable probe while designing an experiment monitoring temperature changes over time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT Practices</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automation</td>
<td>Developing a systematic set of instructions for a computer to carry out a task more often, more efficiently, and/or more accurately than a human.</td>
<td>High school students may create a mobile application that helps community members to find the nearest stores with fresh fruits and vegetables and promotes healthy eating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computational modeling</td>
<td>Representing relationships within complex systems using a computational tool, particularly phenomena that cannot be otherwise thoroughly examined due to constraints of time, size, and/or visibility</td>
<td>Middle school students might explore phases of matter by using a simulation to manipulate temperature in a solid, liquid or gas and observe the speed and distance of molecules in each phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data practices</td>
<td>Leveraging computational models and methods to collect, analyze, and visualize data.</td>
<td>High school students could choose a locally-relevant data set from their city’s open data portal, analyze the data using spreadsheet software, and create a data visualization aimed at an audience of community members.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Description of computational skills and practices

**Reflect:**

Which of these terms are you already familiar with?  
Which terms would you like to learn more about?
Reimagining Computational Thinking to be More Inclusive

The push to integrate computational thinking to support disciplinary learning provides an opportunity for schools to reimagine and redefine computing education for students, especially those that have experienced exclusion, knowing these skills will equip them for success in a computational world, regardless of their career choice. Notably, strategies to increase access to computational thinking learning opportunities for all students not only aim to increase opportunities to engage students who are excluded from computing, but also seek to change how and why students are engaging in computing. While computer science instruction has traditionally focused on skill acquisition (e.g., coding), the redesign of inclusive learning experiences must intentionally integrate connections to students’ interests, homes and communities and acknowledge and challenge inequity at the outset of the learning rather than as an afterthought (Kafai et al., 2019).

So, what teaching practices promote inclusive computational thinking and what does inclusive computational thinking look like in a classroom? In the chart below, we provide examples of inclusive computing pedagogies in the classroom. The pedagogies are divided into three categories to emphasize different pedagogical approaches to inclusivity. **Designing Accessible Instruction** refers to strategies teachers should use to engage all learners in computing. **Connecting to Students’ Interests, Homes, and Communities** refers to drawing on the experiences of students to design learning experiences that are connected with their homes, communities, interests and experiences to highlight the relevance of computing in their lives. **Acknowledging and Combating Inequity** refers to a teacher supporting students to recognize and take a stand against the oppression of marginalized groups in society broadly and specifically in computing.
Designing Accessible Instruction

- Utilize the principles of **Universal Design for Learning** and provide multiple means of engagement, representation, and action and expression, such as using a physical representation of code blocks to model computing or providing students with starter code.

- Facilitate well-structured **collaborative learning** opportunities, such as **pair programming** and **Process Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning (POGIL)**.

- Make expectations explicit, such as providing a checklist for students to check their progress as they develop computational artifacts.

- Normalize and encourage making mistakes, getting stuck and redoing activities when developing and refining computational products. One way to do this is through think-alouds where teachers (or students) say what they are thinking and doing when programming.

- Provide students choices in their creation of computational artifacts by curating and modeling a variety of product outputs (e.g., interactive art, digital stories, e-textiles, video games, and simulations) that align with learning objectives.

Connecting to Students’ Interests, Homes, and Communities

- Give students the opportunity to develop and express their interests and experiences through computational thinking activities and computing tools.

- Connect with family and community members to incorporate their perspectives into computing activities.

- Promote linguistic pluralism in class and computing projects by encouraging translanguaging, allowing students to create projects in their language of choice, or utilizing the translated block options on Scratch.

- Showcase students’ work with technology related organizations in the community to connect, celebrate, and legitimize their expertise.

Acknowledging and Combating Inequity

- Acknowledge power dynamics associated with race and representation in computing, such as the overrepresentation of white male computer scientists, and actively combat those power dynamics in the classroom (e.g. amplifying the voices of students experiencing marginalization).

- Recruit students who experience exclusion from computing to computer science classes (e.g., Black, Native American, and Latinx students; students with disabilities; girls; non-binary students).

- Celebrate the multiple, overlapping identities of students experiencing marginalization and validate the unique perspectives they hold about technology.

- Challenge stereotypes about who a computer scientist is and who belongs in the technology field, such as celebrating and giving examples of the work of people that have experienced marginalization based on race, gender, or ability.

- Teach students about biases in technology, such as in algorithms and artificial intelligence.

- Provide opportunities for students to speak about and improve injustices through computational means, such as creating an app, digital story, or game that showcases and counters inequities.

Table 2. Examples of inclusive computing in classroom practice (Adapted from Israel et al., 2017; Kapor Center, 2021; Madkins et al., 2020; National Center for Women & Information Technology, 2021b; Paris & Alim, 2017; Ryoo, 2019; CSTeachingTips, 2021)

Reflect:

- Which inclusive computational thinking pedagogies stood out to you as especially relevant to your learners, community and/or content area? Explain.

- How will you leverage inclusive pedagogies in your classroom, school or district?

---

1 Universal Design for Learning is a framework designed to improve teaching and learning for all learners (CAST, 2018).

2 Pair programming is a technique used in both education and industry. In education it has shown to improve student retention and performance in CS courses (National Center for Women & Information Technology, 2021c).

3 Process Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning is a structured collaborative learning strategy that guides students in constructing their own understanding of key concepts (Kussmaul, Brinkman, & Quinn, 2017).

4 The theory that people fluidly call into action their full meaning-making resources in ways that defy categorization. For example, in a single interaction people may use words from multiple languages as well as gestures, emoji, and other environmental resources (Vogel, et al., 2019).
Integrating Computational Thinking into Disciplinary Learning

To provide all learners, especially those experiencing marginalization, opportunities to engage in computational thinking, it is essential that educators integrate computational thinking with the topics they already teach, like math and ELA. Then, computational thinking can become a value-add to disciplinary learning and not an add-on to an already overscheduled school day. Educators can design powerful learning experiences by leveraging synergies between disciplinary learning and computational thinking and promoting student agency and purpose. In the younger graders, educators should provide opportunities for students to build computational thinking skills to develop an interest in and a foundational understanding of computing. Table 3 below describes a few examples of how computational thinking can be integrated into core disciplinary learning (arts, English language arts, math, science and social studies) in early learning (PreK–2), upper elementary school (grades 3–5) and secondary school (grades 6–12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT Skills Key:</th>
<th>Pattern Recognition</th>
<th>Algorithmic Thinking</th>
<th>Decomposition</th>
<th>Abstraction</th>
<th>Debugging</th>
<th>Selecting Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT Practices Key</td>
<td>Data Practices</td>
<td>Automation</td>
<td>Computational Modeling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade band</th>
<th>Arts</th>
<th>English Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Social Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PreK–2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Break down the task of creating a large object out of playdough into smaller steps.</td>
<td>Put the plot of a story in the correct order (e.g., We’re Going on a Bear Hunt)</td>
<td>Use dice to select an action or sound to make (e.g. animal sound if you roll a 3). Another dice can be used to determine the number of times to repeat that action or sound.</td>
<td>Sort objects according to shared attributes (e.g., food groups)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use a repeating pattern to design a bead necklace or paper chain.</td>
<td>Read “How to Code a Sandcastle” and discuss how Pearl broke down the steps for Pascal. Then, write class steps to build a sandcastle or an object that will be familiar to your students.</td>
<td>Create graphs of data relevant to your students or classroom (e.g., birthdays, word walls)</td>
<td>Create a map and provide precise instructions for a robot to travel to different destinations on their map. The robot can be a child following directions or an electronic robot like a Code-a-Pillar or Bee Bot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perform a sequence of music notes or dance moves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3a. Examples of computing integrated into K–12 arts, ELA, math, science, and social studies in grades K-2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade band</th>
<th>Arts</th>
<th>English Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Social Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>Compose a song using a computational tool such as Scratch</td>
<td>Collect and analyze data about text features in non-fiction books</td>
<td>Use Scratch to create programs that calculate area and perimeter given user inputs</td>
<td>Use a simulation to explore scientific phenomena (e.g., how mass affects force when two objects collide).</td>
<td>Develop stories of different historical perspectives using data from primary sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compose an interactive “choose your own adventure” story using a computational tool such as Alice or Scratch.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Code a micro:bit to measure light levels and use their micro:bit light meter to conduct an experiment (e.g., indicate pollution levels in water)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade band</td>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>English Language Arts</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>Create a visualization that emphasizes bias or injustice with a dataset</td>
<td>Develop an algorithm for decomposing an essay prompt</td>
<td>Conduct statistical analysis about their own health and wellness habits (e.g. snack habits, stress levels)</td>
<td>Use, decode, or remix a computational model about a scientific phenomenon (e.g. weather)</td>
<td>Analyze data critically examining sociopolitical structures within society and technology (e.g., redlining and digital redlining)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use e-textiles to create a quilt square.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analyze and evaluate bias in secondhand data about socioscientific issues (e.g. cancer, pollution)</td>
<td>Critique how automation in society has contributed to inequitable outcomes for peoples experiencing marginalization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3b. Examples of computing integrated into K–12 arts, ELA, math, science, and social studies in grades 3-5.

Table 3c. Examples of computing integrated into K–12 arts, ELA, math, science, and social studies in grades 6-12.

Table 3. Adapted from Canon Lab (n.d.); Coenraad et al., 2021; Computational Thinking for Next Generation Science, EDC, Integrated Modules, Integrated Computational Thinking, Preschool Computational Thinking, Tough as Nails Boosters

Reflect:

- Are there some computational thinking skills or practices that are common in your classroom, school or district?
- What ideas do you have to integrate computational thinking into disciplinary learning?
Developing Capacity for Computational Thinking

There are commonly strong examples of computational thinking integrated in pockets within districts. That is, several classrooms or teachers facilitate rigorous computational thinking practices with students. However, this engagement is rarely consistent across classrooms within schools or across schools within districts. This often leads to fewer and less robust computing learning opportunities for students experiencing marginalization. In order to scale and sustain computational thinking integration within districts and schools, educators must promote shared leadership between districts and schools and designing professional learning experiences for pre- and in-service teachers.

**Reflect:**

*How can you engage teacher, school and district leaders to build capacity for computational thinking?*

Conclusion

In order to fully participate in our increasingly computational world, all students, especially those experiencing marginalization, must have access to computational thinking and engage with technology in ways that promote justice for the students and for society at large. Now is the time to integrate computational thinking with inclusive pedagogies into every classroom.

To learn more about computational thinking, read our full report.
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